```
David Bush, State Bar No. 154511
     Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221
     BUSH & HENRY
 2
     ATTORNEYS AT LAW
     4400 Keller Avenue, Suite 200
 3
     Oakland, CA 94605
     Tel: (510) 577-0747
 4
     Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135543
 5
     CHANLER LAW GROUP
     Magnolia Lane (off Huckleberry Hill)
 6
     New Canaan, CT 06840-3801
     Tel: (203) 966-9911
 7
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
 8
     MICHAEL DIPIRRO
 9
10
11
               SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12
                    IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
13
14
     MICHAEL DIPIRRO, an individual ) No. H216380-2
15
               Plaintiff,
                                         CONSENT JUDGMENT
16
               v.
17
     ROTO ZIP TOOL CORPORATION; and
18
     DOES 1 through 1000,
19
               Defendants.
20
21
22
          This Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent
23
     Judgment") is entered into by and between Michael DiPirro, a
24
25
    CONSENT JUDGMENT
```

7

California citizen, and Roto Zip Tool Corporation ("Roto Zip"), a Wisconsin corporation, as of August 7, 2001 (the "Effective Date"). The parties agree to the following terms and conditions:

WHEREAS:

- A. Michael DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in or produced by consumer and industrial products;
- B. Roto Zip is a company that currently manufactures, distributes and sells in the State of California certain power tools whose customary use and application may produce fumes, gases or dust that contain chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.) including lead (or lead compounds), crystalline silica, arsenic and chromium (hexavalent compounds) (the "Listed Chemicals"). For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "dust" refers both to fine particulate matter and to any material released from a Covered Product or from the use of a Covered Product on masonry, wood, metal, or any other natural or synthetic substances. The term includes but is not limited to particles, fibers, chips, residues, powder, smoke, fumes,

vapors, soot, grime, dirt, chaff, fines, flakes, sand, granules, attritus, efflorescence, sawdust, detritus, filings, debris, grains, friable material, turnings, sweepings, scourings, rinse; raspings, shavings, dregs, mud, remains, mist, and precipitates. "Dust" does not include engine exhaust.

- The products whose customary use and С. application are likely to produce fumes, gases or dust which contain one or more of the "Listed Chemicals" and which are covered by this Agreement are referred to herein as the "Covered Products". For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" means all products described in Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment, regardless of product nomenclature and model design or designation including past or future modifications not affecting the basic function of the products. Products described as "Accessories" in Exhibit A are attachments to the power tools or hand tools identified in Exhibit A, or otherwise work in connection or association with them, and are Covered Products when used as accessories to other Covered Products identified as power tools. The Covered Products are now being distributed and/or sold by Roto Zip for use in California, or have been so distributed and/or sold in the past; and
 - D. On August 18, 2000, Michael DiPirro served

25 CONSENT JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Roto Zip and public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" which provided Roto Zip and such public enforcers with notice that Michael DiPirro contends Roto Zip was in violation of Health & Safety Code \$25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn purchasers that certain products it sells or otherwise offers for use in California expose users to Proposition 65-listed chemicals; and

- E. On November 9, 2000, Michael DiPirro filed a complaint entitled Michael DiPirro v. Roto Zip Tool

 Corporation, et al., Case No. H216380-2 in the Alameda County Superior Court ("the Complaint"), naming Roto Zip as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions

 Code \$17200 and Health & Safety Code \$25249.6 on behalf of individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to the "Listed Chemicals" produced by certain Roto Zip products.
- F. For the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation, the parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Roto Zip does not admit any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation

25 CONSENT JUDGMENT

of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code sections
17200 et seq., or any other law or legal duty, and
specifically denies that it has committed any such
violations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice,
waive or impair any right, remedy, or defense the parties may
have in any other, or in future legal proceedings unrelated
to these proceedings. Nothing in this 'paragraph shall diminish
or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and
duties of Roto Zip under this Consent Judgment.

- G. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Roto Zip as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- H. It is the intent of the parties that this

 Consent Judgment and the warning provisions contained herein

 conform to and be consistent with the provisions of the

 Consent Judgment entered by the Court in People of the State

 of California v. Ace Hardware, San Francisco County Superior

 Court, No. 995893, entered September 29, 2000 (Ace Hardware

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Consent Judgment) (The face page of which is attached hereto as "EXHIBIT B").

NOW THEREFORE, MICHAEL DIPIRRO AND ROTO ZIP AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

- On or before September 29, 2001, Roto Zip shall provide clear and reasonable warnings that some uses of Covered Products expose persons to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. These warnings shall be given under the circumstances and in the same manner as provided in the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment. However, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall require Roto Zip to provide warnings on or with any products other than those it manufactures or sells in packaging bearing its name or trademark. Proposition 65 permits warnings to be provided through mechanisms such as point-of-sale signs, which are not product labels and do not travel with products through interstate commerce. The use of other warning methods, such as labels and in-manual warnings under this Consent Judgment, is consented to by the parties to the Consent Judgment, and shall not be construed to mean that those methods are the only lawful means of compliance with Proposition 65.
- 1.2 Where a Proposition 65 warning is not required by this Consent Judgment for a Covered Product sold for use

25 CONSENT JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

in the State of California (i.e. those products listed on Exhibit A that are Accessory Products, and are therefore "No Warning Products" pursuant to the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment), Roto Zip shall not provide that product with a Proposition 65 warning concerning any exposure, unless required by the federal Hazard Communication Standard. Provided, however, that any other product may retain any Proposition 65 warning required by the settlement of previous litigation.

- 1.3 Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be given for Covered Products sold for use outside of the State of California.
- 1.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that Proposition 65 warnings be given for occupational exposures associated with any Covered Product that is manufactured outside of the State of California, within the meaning of the June 6,1997, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, "Approval; California State Standard on Hazard Communication Incorporating Proposition 65," 62 Federal Register 31159-31181.
- 1.5 Warnings in manuals. As set forth in the Ace
 Hardware Consent Judgment, Roto Zip may provide Proposition
 65 warnings in the owner's manual for Covered Products if all
 of the following conditions are met:

g

2.0

The warning shall be located in one of the 1 following places in the owner's manual: the outside of the 2 front cover, the inside of the front cover, the first page 3 other than the cover, or the outside of the back cover. 4 Unless a different warning is approved by Michael DiPirro, 5 the warning shall have the exact content as the warning in 6 Exhibit C to the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment, except that, 7 at Roto Zip's option, the bracketed language may be omitted. The warning shall be printed in a font no smaller than the 9 font used for other safety warnings in the manual. 10 format shown in Exhibit C is illustrative only, provided that 11 the warning meets the other requirements of this section. 12 Alternatively, the warning may be included in a safety 13 warning section consistent with specifications UL 745-1 or UL 14 45 issued by Underwriters Laboratories Inc., as amended. 15 warning may either be printed in the manual or contained in a 16 durable label or sticker affixed to the manual. If the 17 graphic is used, and the manual is printed in a single color 18 of ink on paper, then the warning need not contain the yellow 19 color shown on Exhibit C of the Ace Hardware Consent 20 Judgment. Modifications concerning colors of manual warnings 21 may be made with the advance consent of the Michael DiPirro, 22 which shall not be unreasonably withheld. 23

CONSENT JUDGMENT

b) -

24

The Covered Product contains a durable label

or sticker directing the operator's attention to the owner's manual;

- c) the owner's manual is intended by Roto Zip to be provided with the original packaging of the covered Product to the initial consumer/purchaser;
- d) at least one other safety warning appears in the owner's manual; and
- e) all or a substantial portion of operation instructions, if any, are contained in the owner's manual.
- 1.6 Warnings on the Product. As an alternative to complying with the requirements of subparagraph 1.5, Roto Zip may satisfy its obligations under this Consent Judgment by providing warnings on the product. Any such warning may be provided by affixing a durable label containing a warning with the language contained in Exhibit D of the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment on the Covered Product in a location that can be seen by the user of the Covered Product under normal circumstances of use of the Covered Product.
- 2. Interim Warnings. Roto Zip asserts that is has implemented an interim warning program for Covered Products sold to consumers in the State of California in accordance with the Interim Warning Requirements of the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment.
 - 3. Payment Pursuant To Health & Safety Code

§25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), Roto Zip shall pay a civil penalty of \$11,300. The payment of \$11,300 shall be paid within ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date of this Agreement and shall be held in trust by DiPirro's counsel until the Alameda County Superior Court approves and enters the Consent Judgment. The penalty payment is to be made payable to "Chanler Law Group In Trust For Michael DiPirro". If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro will return all funds, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, within ten (10) calendar days of notice of the Court's decision. Penalty monies shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code \$25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control.

Roto Zip understands that the payment schedule as stated in this Consent Judgment is a material factor upon which DiPirro and his counsel have relied in entering into this Consent Judgment. Roto Zip agrees that all payments will be made in a timely manner in accordance with the payment due dates. Roto Zip will be given a five (5) calendar day grace period from the date payment is due. Roto Zip agrees to pay Michael DiPirro and his counsel a \$250 per calendar day fee for each day the payment is received after

CONSENT JUDGMENT

26

2

3

5

6

7

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the grace period ends. For purposes of this paragraph, each new day (requiring an additional \$250 payment) will begin at 5 p.m. (PST).

4. Reimbursement Of Fees And Costs. The parties acknowledge that DiPirro offered to resolve the dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this open issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. Roto Zip then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the parties tried to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. §1021.5.

Roto Zip shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for his fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing the matter to Roto Zip's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Roto Zip shall pay the total sum of \$33,700 for investigation fees, attorneys' fees and litigation costs. Roto Zip agrees to pay \$33,700 within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group". If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro will return all funds, with interest thereon at a rate of six

CONSENT JUDGMENT

percent (6%) per annum, within ten (10) calendar days of notice of the Court's decision.

Roto Zip understands that the payment schedule as stated in this Consent Judgment is a material factor upon which DiPirro and his counsel have relied in entering into this Consent Judgment. Roto Zip agrees that all payments will be made in a timely manner in accordance with the payment due dates. Roto Zip will be given a five (5) calendar day grace period from the date payment is due. Zip agrees to pay Michael DiPirro and his counsel a \$250 per calendar day fee for each day the payment is received after the grace period ends. For purposes of this paragraph, each new day (requiring an additional \$250 payment) will begin at 5 p.m. (PST).

5. Releases

5.1

Michael DiPirro's Release Of Roto Zip. Michael DiPirro, by this Agreement, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and in the interest of the general public, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against Roto Zip (defined for purposes of paragraph 5 to include Roto Zip's parent, subsidiaries, divisions,

25 CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

subdivisions, and its directors, officers, employees, successors and assigns) and Roto Zip's distributors, retailers, and customers, whether under Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code \$17200 et seq., based on Roto Zip's alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals produced by any of the Covered Products.

- 5.2 Roto Zip's Release Of Michael DiPirro. Roto Zip, by this Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Michael DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by Michael DiPirro, and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or Business & Profession Code §17200 against Roto Zip.
- Civil Code, Section 1542. Michael DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns, and not in a representative capacity on behalf of the general public, and Roto Zip hereby waive the provision of the California Civil Code, Section 1542, which provides as follows: "A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him, must have materially affected his settlement

1.8

with the debtor."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7. Claims Covered.

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between and among Michael DiPirro and his agents and attorneys, acting in the interest of the general public, and Roto Zip (defined for purposes of this paragraph to include its parent, subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, directors, officers, employees, agents and attorneys), and its customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person who may use, maintain, or apply Covered Products, with respect to any and all Claims, as defined in paragraph 7.3, which Roto Zip or Michael DiPirro each now have or may hereafter have against each other, or any of them, whether based on actions committed or omitted by Roto Zip, or by any entity within Roto Zip's chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, retail sellers, wholesalers, and any other person in the course of business, with respect to Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed by Roto Zip. The Parties mutually release each other with respect to all such Claims. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to affect the duties or liability of any employer with respect to any duty to warn its employees.

7.-2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent

25 CONSENT JUDGMENT

Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the past, concerning compliance by Roto Zip, its parent, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, divisions, subdivisions, directors, officers or employees, and its customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person who may use, maintain or sell Covered Products that were manufactured, sold, distributed, or labeled by Roto Zip, with the requirements of Proposition 65 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

- Judgment, "Claims" shall mean any and all manner of action or actions, cause or causes of action, in law or in equity, administrative actions, petitions, suits, debts, liens, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, claims, demands, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, that have existed, or now exist, all to the extent based upon, arising out of or relating to the compliance of Roto Zip with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq., with respect to the distribution or use of the Covered Products.
- 7. Court Approval. If, for any reason, this
 Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, this Agreement
 shall be deemed null and void.
 - 8. Roto Zip Sales Data. Roto Zip understands

that the sales data provided to counsel for DiPirro by Roto Zip was a material factor upon which DiPirro has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of Roto Zip's knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that DiPirro discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) days of Roto Zip's receipt of notice from DiPirro of his intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve DiPirro's concerns, DiPirro shall have the right to rescind the Agreement and reinstitute an enforcement action against Roto Zip, provided that all sums paid by Roto Zip pursuant to paragraphs 3 and 4 are returned to Roto Zip within ten (10) days from the date on which DiPirro notifies Roto Zip of his intent to rescind this Agreement. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date DiPirro filed the instant action and the date DiPirro notifies Roto Zip that he is rescinding this Agreement pursuant to this Paragraph.

9. Product Characterization. Roto Zip acknowledges that DiPirro alleges that each of the Covered

CONSENT JUDGMENT

26

1

3

5

6

7

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Products, through their customary use or application; are 1 likely to produce fumes, gases or dust that contain lead (or 2 lead compounds), crystalline silica, arsenic and/or chromium 3 (hexavalent compounds), substances known to the State of 4 California to cause cancer and/or birth defects (or other 5 reproductive harm). In the event that Roto Zip obtains 6 analytical, risk assessment or other data ("Exposure Data") 7 that shows an exposure to any or all of the Listed Chemicals 8 poses "no significant risk" or will have "no observable 9 effect," as each such standard is applicable and as each is 10 defined under Health & Safety Code \$25249.10(c), and Roto Zip 11 seeks to eliminate the warnings, then Roto Zip shall provide 12 DiPirro with ninety (90) days prior written notice of its 13 intent to limit or eliminate the warning provisions under 14 this Agreement based on the Exposure Data and shall provide 15 DiPirro with all such supporting Exposure Data. 16 ninety (90) days of receipt of Roto Zip Exposure Data, 17 DiPirro shall provide Roto Zip with written notice of his 18 intent to challenge the Exposure Data (in the event that he 19 chooses to make such a challenge). If DiPirro fails to 20 provide Roto Zip written notice of his intent to challenge 21 the Exposure Data within ninety (90) days of receipt of Roto 22 Zip's notice and the Exposure Data, DiPirro shall waive all 23 rights to challenge the Exposure Data, and Roto Zip shall be 24

entitled to limit or eliminate the warning provisions required under this Agreement with respect to those Product(s) to which the Exposure Data applies. If DiPirro timely notifies Roto Zip of his intent to challenge the Exposure Data, Roto Zip (a) may stop its efforts to eliminate the warnings upon notice to DiPirro with no further liability or obligations or (b) shall negotiate in good faith for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days following receipt of DiPirro's notice to attempt to reach a settlement of this issue. If a settlement is not reached, DiPirro and Roto Zip agree to submit such challenge to the superior court for determination, pursuant to the court's continuing jurisdiction of this matter under C.C.P. \$664.6 and this agreement. The prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with bringing or defending a motion brought under this paragraph to the court for determination.

- 10. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 11. Attorney's Fees. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement, including, but not limited to the late payment provisions in

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

paragraphs 3 and 4, the prevailing party shall be entitled to 1 recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees. 2 Governing Law. The terms of this Agreement 3 shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. 4 13. Notices. All correspondence to Michael 5 DiPirro shall be mailed to: 6 Jennifer Henry or David Bush 7 Bush & Henry 4400 Keller Ave., Suite 200 8 Oakland, CA 94605 (510) 577-0747 9 10 All correspondence to Roto Zip shall be mailed 11 to: 12 Gordon Davenport III 13 Foley & Lardner Attorneys at Law 14 P.O. Box 1497 Madison, WI 53701-1497 15 (608) 257-5035 16 Compliance with Reporting Requirements. 17 parties agree to comply with the reporting form requirements 18 referenced in Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(f). Pursuant to 19 the new regulations promulgated under Health & Safety Code 20 \$25249.7(f), DiPirro shall present this Consent Judgment to 21 the California Attorney General's office upon receiving all 22 necessary signatures. It will then be presented to the 23 Alameda County Superior Court thirty (30) days later, 24

26

25

provided that the Attorney General has not served any objections to this Consent Judgment prior to the expiration of the thirty day period.

- 15. Retention of Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.
- Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the parties after noticed motion, and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the court thereon, or upon motion of DiPirro or Roto Zip as provided by law and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the court. In the event that warning provisions of the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment are modified (paragraphs 2 & 3), either party shall be entitled to request the Court to modify this Consent Judgment to be consistent with any future court-approved modification of the Ace Hardware Consent Judgment.
- 17. Counterparts and Facsimile. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 18. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the

1	terms and conditions of this Agreement.		
2		· ·	
3			
4	AGREED TO:	ACREED TO:	
5	DATE: 8/10/01	DATE:	
6	DATE: 8/10/01		
7	Michael DiPirro	Roto Zip Tool Corporation	
8	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	
9	approved as to form:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
10			
11	DATE:	DATE:	
12	_		
13	David Bush	Gordon Davenport III	
14	Actorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Attorneys for Defendant ROTO ZIP TOOL CORPORATION	
15			
16		· •	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Consent Judgment		
26		21	

· .

1	terms and conditions of this Agreement.
2	1
3	i
4	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
5	DATE: 8-8-01
6	
7	Michael DiPirro Roto Zip Tool Corporation
8	PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT
9	Approved as to form: Approved as to form:
10	
11	DATE: 01801
12	
13	David Bush Gordon Davenport III
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO ROTO ZIP TOOL CORPORATION
15	THE HILL SELLING
16	
17	,
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	:
23	•
24	
25	•
26	CONSENT JUDGMENT 21

1.	terms and conditions of this A	Agreement.
2		
3		
4	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
5	DATE:	DATE:
6		
7	Michael DiPirro	Roto Zip Tool Corporation
8	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
10		
11	DATE: August 9, 2001	DATE:
12		
13	David Bush	Gordon Davenport III
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Attorneys for Defendant ROTO ZIP TOOL CORPORATION
15		
16		
L7		
18		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	 -	
25		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

EXHIBIT A All power tool power units 1. All power tool accessories and attachments (for example, 2. bits, blades, cutting wheels, grinding wheels, sanding wheels and related attachments) (No Warning Products)

CONSENT JUDGMENT